

SOUTHERN CROSS UNIVERSITY

SCHOOL/COLLEGE REVIEWS TERMS OF REFERENCE

Scope

These terms of reference apply to the review of Schools/Colleges under the Organisational Reviews Policy.

Aim of School/College Review

The aim of a School/College review is for the School to reflect on achievements, assess current activities, and highlight intended strategic and targeted priorities within strategic outlook of the University.

School/College Review Submission¹

As per para 30 of the Organisational Review Policy, the Head of School/College will prepare a Review Portfolio for consideration by the Review Panel. The Review Portfolio will be structured to reflect the University's overall strategic quality philosophy of PLAN-IMPLEMENT — MONITOR AND REVIEW — IMPROVE.

Terms of reference

- 1. The overall viability and performance of the School/College.
- 2. The course profile of the School/College, including its effectiveness and efficiency and the quality of its delivery; together with its student profile including entry criteria, social inclusiveness, retention and attrition and graduate outcomes.
- 3. The School's/College's performance in research and research training
- 4. The appropriateness of the staffing profile of the School/College, including teaching, research, administration and technical support.
- 5. The appropriateness of the School's/College's organisational structure.
- 6. The clarity of the School's plans for its future directions and the appropriateness of these plans for the School and the University.
- 7. The contribution of the School to the University's operations and development.

¹ Guidelines for the School Review Submission is provided at Appendix A

- 8. The relationship of the School with related industries and the community more widely, and activities and events that engage local to global communities.
- 9. The quality and appropriateness of the School's national and international activities.
- 10. The efficiency and effectiveness of utilisation of physical infrastructure, technologies and other assets available to the School.
- 11. Any other matters deemed relevant in enhancing the School's contribution to the University.

Appendix A

The relevant Head of School/College will prepare a Review Portfolio for consideration by the Review Panel. The Review Portfolio will be structured to reflect the University's overall strategic Quality philosophy of PLAN- IMPLEMENT — MONITOR AND REVIEW — IMPROVE.

The Review Portfolio should be limited to a maximum of 20 pages (plus any supporting documentation). The portfolio will address the Organisational Unit's self-assessment of performance as evidenced in annual Plans, and include as appropriate.

As per para 31 of the Organisational Review Policy, the Portfolio should address the following activities:

- a. the context in which the School/College operates including a brief history of the School
- b. Learning and Teaching;
- c. Research and Research Training;
- d. Financial Management;
- e. Engagement with the Region and Professions;
- f. Engagement with other University Units;
- g. Improving the University Experience for Students;
- h. Leadership and Innovation (including enhancing the potential and performance of Staff);
- i. Alignment of the Unit's activities to Southern Cross University's (SCU) Priorities in the University Strategic Plan;
- j. Quality Assurance and Improvement Processes;
- k. Internationalisation;
- I. Significant Planning and Review Activities.

The Course Review Submission will include the following supporting documents:

- 1. Previous School Review Report
- 2. Action plan and Status of the Previous Report Recommendations
- 3. Academic Portfolio of the School (courses offered)
- 4. Evidence of Benchmarking
- 5. Survey Reports (First Year Survey; Graduates Outcomes Survey; Beyond Graduation Survey)
- 6. Staff Profiles
- 7. Minutes or reports from advisory committees and School Board;
- 8. Outcomes of benchmarking projects;

- 9. feedback from students or client groups;
- 10. feedback from any internal and external reviews;
- 11. a summary of performance since the last review;
- 12. intended developments.